IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

WEDNESDAY, THE 12TH DECEMBER 2007 / 21ST AGRAHAYANA 1929

Crl.MC.No. 3716 of 2007()

CC.129/2005 of JUDL. MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS COURT, CHALAKUDY

.....

PETITIONER:ACCUSED

JAISON.V.O, S/O.OUSEPH,
AGED 33 YEARS,
VEZHAPARAMBIL HOUSE,
ANNALLUR VILLAGE,
PAZHOOKARA DESOM, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK,
THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.KRISHNA PRASAD. S

RESPONDENTS: COMPLAINANT

STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR , HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM, REPRESENTING S.I OF POLICE,
CHALAKUDY POLICE STATION, CHALAKUDY.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.GIKKU JACOB

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

R.BASANT, J

Crl.M.C.No.3716 of 2007

Dated this the 12th day of December, 2007

O R D E R

Petitioner faces indictment in a prosecution for the offence punishable under Sections 304 A and 279 I.P.C. Cognizance was taken in 2005. The petitioner, who is employed abroad, was not able to appear before the learned Magistrate. Consequently coercive processes have been issued against the petitioner by the learned Magistrate. The petitioner finds such processes chasing him.

2. According to the petitioner, he is absolutely innocent. His absence earlier was not wilful or deliberate. He is willing to surrender before the learned Magistrate and apply for bail. But he apprehends that his application for regular bail may not be considered by the learned Magistrate on merits, in accordance with law and expeditiously. It is therefore prayed that directions under Section 482 Cr.P.C may be issued in favour of the petitioner.

3. It is for the petitioner to appear before the learned Magistrate and explain to the learned Magistrate the circumstances under which he could not earlier appear before the learned Magistrate. I have no reason to assume that the learned Magistrate would not consider such application on merits, in accordance with law and expeditiously. Every court must do the same. No special or specific direction appears to be necessary. Sufficient general directions have already been issued in **Alice George v. The Deputy Superintendent of Police [**2003(1) KLT 339].

4. This Crl.M.C is, in these circumstances, dismissed, but with the specific observation that if the petitioner appears before the learned Magistrate and applies for bail after giving sufficient prior notice to the Prosecutor in charge of the case, the learned Magistrate must proceed to pass appropriate orders on merits and expeditiously - on the date of surrender itself.

(R.BASANT, JUDGE)

rtr/-